RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Trends in the incidence and associated factors of late-onset sepsis associated with improved survival in extremely preterm infants born at 23–26 weeks' gestation: a retrospective study

Jin Kyu Kim^{1,3†}, Yun Sil Chang^{2†}, Sein Sung², So Yoon Ahn² and Won Soon Park^{2*}

Abstract

Background: To investigate the trends in the incidence and associated factors of late-onset sepsis (LOS) associated with improved survival in extremely preterm infants.

Methods: Medical records of 364 infants who were born at 23–26 weeks' gestation from 2000 to 2005 (period I, n = 124) and from 2006 to 2011 (period II, n = 240) were retrospectively reviewed. The infants were stratified into subgroups of 23–24 and 25–26 weeks' gestation within each period, and survival, LOS rate, and clinical characteristics were analyzed. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were completed to identify the clinical factors associated with LOS.

Results: The survival rate of 75.8% during period I significantly improved to 85.4% during period II, especially in infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation (55.1% vs. 78.1%, respectively). The LOS rate of 33.1% during period I significantly reduced to 15.8% during period II, especially in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation (32.0% vs. 8.9%, respectively). The LOS rate per 1000 hospital days of 4.0 during period I significantly reduced to 1.8 during period II. *Candida* presence reduced from 21.3% during period I to 4.7% during period II. In multivariate analyses, during period I, prolonged intubation, especially in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation, and necrotizing enterocolitis, especially in infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation, were significantly associated with LOS.

Conclusions: Improved survival of infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation was associated with a simultaneous reduction of LOS incidence in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation. Less-invasive assisted ventilation may be one of the details of improved perinatal and neonatal care that has contributed to lowering risk of infection or death among periviable infants.

Keywords: Extremely preterm infants, Late-onset sepsis, Associated factor, Survival rate

Background

The risk of late onset sepsis (LOS) increases with decreasing birth weight and gestational age, likely due to the more immature immune function in these infants, the increased use of invasive devices including endotracheal intubation and vascular catheterization, and

prolonged hospital stay [1-3]. Therefore, the actual number of infants at a high risk for developing LOS might be increasing with the current improved survival of extremely low birth weight infants (ELBWI) [4-8]. Although with recent improvements in neonatal intensive care medicine, reports from come multicenter consortia indicate some morbidities, including late onset infection, have been reduced in frequency, they still persist, and continue to be a significant cause of morbidities and mortality [9-13].

[†]Jin Kyu Kim and Yun Sil Chang contributed equally to this work. ²Department of Pediatrics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul 06351, Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: wonspark@skku.edu

The variable incidence of LOS found among neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) [14, 15] suggests that besides the immaturity of these infants, environmental factors in the NICU, such as clinical practice style and management decisions, might also contribute to the risk of LOS [6, 14]. Previously, we observed that the implementation of certain clinical strategies, including the restrictive use of antibiotics; less use of invasive procedures, such as umbilical vessel catheterization and endotracheal intubation; and the establishment and strict application of guidelines for hand washing, gloving, infant handling, and central intravascular line management, was quite effective in reducing the incidence of LOS in ELBWI [16]. Taken together, these findings suggest that the development of new and effective therapeutic strategies could reduce the incidence of LOS and improve the clinical outcomes of ELBWI [15, 17–20].

Recently, we noted markedly improved survival rates with improvements in perinatal and neonatal intensive care in extremely preterm (EPT) infants near the limit of viability at 23–26 weeks' gestation [12, 13]. Therefore, in the present retrospective observational study, we investigated whether improved survival in EPT infants at 23–26 weeks' gestation was associated with an altered incidence of LOS and, if applicable, the clinical factors related to this alteration.

Methods

The medical records of infants born at 23-26 weeks' gestation and who were admitted into the Samsung Medical Center NICU from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2005 (period I, n=124) and January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2011 (period II, n=240) were retrospectively reviewed. All of the infants had at least more than one blood culture. The study periods were divided according to the improved survival rate of these EPT infants, and the infants were stratified into 23-24 and 25-26 weeks' gestation subgroups within each period.

Maternal and neonatal characteristics, including mortality and various major morbidities, as well as the incidence of LOS during admission, were assessed in the 23-24 and 25-26 weeks' gestation subgroups during the study periods. Gestational age was determined through best obstetric estimates and the modified Ballard test. Survival rate was assessed until discharge from the NICU. An episode of LOS was defined as positive blood cultures in symptomatic patients after 72 h of life with concurrent use of antibiotics for more than 5 days, or those treated for a shorter period if the patient died. If the same organism was cultured after 15 days of appropriate antibiotic therapy, or if a different organism was cultured from a subsequent culture after appropriate antibiotic therapy, this was considered an additional episode. The exposure to associated factors was determined from the date of admission into the NICU to the date of discharge or death. The durations of intubation, nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), percutaneous central venous catheter, and postnatal steroid use before and after positive culture were calculated.

Prolonged rupture of membrane (PROM) was defined as rupture of membranes more than 24 h prior to delivery. Pregnancy-induced hypertension, maternal steroid use, and histologic chorioamnionitis were classified based on maternal hospital records. Outcome measures, including respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), and retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), were analyzed. RDS was defined as clinical features of respiratory distress requiring surfactant and ventilator treatment within the first 24 h of life. PDA screening was routinely performed using echocardiography in all infants after 7 days of life. NEC was classified according to the system of Bell et al. [21]. BPD was defined as an oxygen requirement at 36 weeks' postmenstrual age [22]. IVH was diagnosed using brain ultrasonography and graded according to Papile's classification system [23]. ROP was categorized according to the international classification of ROP and based on the most advanced ROP stage observed [24]. Appropriate for gestational age (AGA) was defined as birth weight between the 90th and 10th percentile.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were based on the first episode of LOS. Associations between LOS and maternal and neonatal variables, hospital course, and mortality were explored. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, while categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage. Comparisons between continuous variables were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test or Student's t-test, while comparisons between categorical variables were performed using the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to control for all variables and to determine the significant independent factors associated with LOS. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for each possible associated factor. Binary logistic regression was used for unadjusted and adjusted analyses, in which missing values were removed. SPSS version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses, and p-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Trends in the survival rate and incidence of late-onset sepsis according to period

Table 1 shows the survival rate and incidence of LOS among infants in each subgroup and period. The

		,				
	23–24 weeks' gestation		25–26 weeks' gestation		Total, 23–26 weeks' gestation	
	P I (n = 49)	P II (n = 105)	P I (n = 75)	P II (n = 135)	P I (n = 124)	P II (n = 240)
Survival at discharge, n (%)	27 (55.1)	82 (78.1) *	67 (89.3)	123 (91.1)	94 (75.8)	205 (85.4) *
LOS infants, n (%)	17 (34.7)	26 (24.8)	24 (32.0)	12 (8.9) *	41 (33.1)	38 (15.8) *
LOS episodes, n	21	31	26	12 *	47	43 *
LOS rate/1000 hospital days	5.1	2.6 *	3.5	1.0 *	4.0	1.8 *

Table 1 Survival and incidence of late-onset sepsis among infants in each subgroup and period

P period, LOS late-onset sepsis *p < 0.05 compared to period I

overall survival rate of 75.8% (94/124) during period I significantly improved to 85.4% (205/240) during period II (p = 0.023). In the subgroup analyses, survival rate during period I significantly improved during period II in infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation (55.1% [27/49] vs. 78.1% [82/105], respectively; p = 0.003), but not in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation (89.3% [67/75] vs. 91.1% [123/135], respectively; p = 0.674).

The overall LOS incidence of 47 episodes in 41/124 (33.1%) infants during period I significantly reduced to 43 episodes in 38/240 (15.8%) infants during period II (p < 0.001). In the subgroup analyses, the incidence of LOS during period II was significantly lower compared to that in period I in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation only (12 episodes in 12/135 [8.9%] infants vs. 26 episodes in 24/75 [32.0%] infants, respectively; p < 0.001); this was not observed in infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation (31 episodes in 26/105 [24.8%] infants vs. 21 episodes in 17/49 [34.7%] infants, respectively; p = 0.201).

The overall LOS rate/1000 hospital days of 4.0 during period I significantly reduced to 1.8 during period II (p = 0.002). In the subgroup analyses, the LOS rate/1000 hospital days of 5.1 and 3.5 in infants at 23–24 and 25–26 weeks' gestation, respectively, during period I significantly reduced to 2.6 and 1.0, respectively, during period II (p = 0.017 and p = 0.011, respectively).

Trends in pathogen distribution according to period

Table 2 shows the pathogen distribution among infants with LOS in each subgroup and period. With the reduction of the overall incidence of LOS, the proportionate incidence of *Candida albicans* infection significantly decreased in period II compared to that in period I (p < 0.001), particularly in infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation (p = 0.002). The overall proportionate distribution of other pathogenic gram-positive or gram-negative organisms was not significantly different between the two periods.

Trends in clinical characteristics and associated factors of late-onset sepsis according to period

Table 3 shows the clinical characteristics and associated factors of LOS among infants in each subgroup and

period. During period II, the overall gestational age and birth weight in infants at 23–26 weeks' gestation with LOS were significantly lower compared with those of the control group (p = 0.003 and p = 0.008, respectively). The overall five-minute Apgar scores in infants at 23–26 weeks' gestation with LOS were significantly lower compared with those of infants in the control group (p = 0.002). However, the Apgar scores of both infants with LOS and those in the control group were significantly higher during period II than during period I. The overall durations of intubation, postnatal steroid use, and antibiotics in infants at 23–26 weeks' gestation with LOS were significantly longer compared with those of the control group, especially in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation during period II compared to period I.

Trends in mortality and morbidities of late-onset sepsis according to period

Table 4 shows the mortality and morbidities of LOS among infants in each subgroup and period. The overall mortality rate in infants at 23–26 weeks' gestation with LOS was significantly higher compared with that of the control group (p = 0.002). In the subgroup analysis, the mortality rate of LOS in infants at 23-24 weeks' gestation was significantly higher than that of infants in the control group during period II (p < 0.001), but not during period I. However, the mortality rate of infants at 23-24 weeks' gestation in the control group significantly improved during period II compared to during period I (p = 0.003). Additionally, the overall incidences of morbidities, such as PDA, NEC, and ROP, in infants at 23–26 weeks' gestation with LOS were significantly higher compared with those in infants in the control group during period II, but not during period I.

Associated factors for late-onset sepsis

The univariate and multivariate analyses of possible associated factors for LOS are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. While study period; gestational age; birth weight; Apgar score at 5 min; durations of hospitalization, intubation, postnatal steroid use, and antibiotics use; mortality, and incidence of NEC and ROP were significantly

Table 2 Pathogen distribution among infants in each subgroup and period

	23–24 weeks' gestation		25–26 weeks' gest	tation	Total, 23–26 week	s' gestation
	Period I $(n = 21)$	Period II (n = 31)	Period I $(n = 26)$	Period II (n = 12)	Period I $(n = 47)$	Period II $(n = 43)$
Gram (+)	6 (28.5)	19 (61.3) *	18 (69.2)	7 (59.3)	24 (51.5)	26 (60.5)
CoNS	2 (9.5)	7 (22.6)	6 (23.1)	3 (25)	8 (17.0)	10 (23.3)
Staphylococcus aureus	3 (14.3)	3 (9.7)	6 (23.1)	1 (8.3)	9 (19.1)	4 (9.3)
Enterococcus	0 (0)	6 (19.4) *	3 (11.5)	1 (8.3)	3 (6.4)	7 (16.3)
Streptococcus group B	1 (4.8)	3 (9.7)	1 (3.8)	1 (8.3)	2 (4.3)	4 (9.3)
Others	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (7.7)	1 (8.3)	2 (4.3)	1 (2.3)
Gram (–)	8 (38.1)	10 (32.3)	5 (19.2)	5 (41.7)	13 (27.7)	15 (34.9)
Enterobacter	2 (9.5)	2 (6.5)	1 (3.8)	2 (16.7)	3 (6.4)	4 (9.3)
Escherichia coli	0 (0)	2 (6.5)	1 (3.8)	2 (16.7)	1 (2.1)	4 (9.3)
Klebsiella	1 (4.8)	2 (6.5)	2 (7.7)	0 (0)	3 (6.4)	2 (4.7)
Pseudomonas	3 (14.3)	0 (0) *	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (6.4)	0 (0)
Acinetobacter	1 (4.8)	1 (3.2)	1 (3.8)	0 (0)	2 (4.3)	1 (2.3)
Others	1 (4.8)	3 (9.7)	0 (0)	1 (8.3)	1 (2.1)	4 (9.3)
Fungus	7 (33.3)	2 (6.5) *	3 (11.5)	0 (0)	10 (21.3)	2 (4.7) *
Candida albicans	6 (28.6)	1 (3.2) *	3 (11.5)	0 (0)	9 (19.1)	1 (2.3) *
Caddida parapsilosis	1 (4.8)	1 (3.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2.1)	1 (2.3)

Data are presented as number (percentage). Organisms counts differ from the frequency of infants with late-onset sepsis due to multiple episodes. CoNS, Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus. * p < 0.05 compared to period I

associated with LOS in the univariate analysis, only study period, duration of intubation (especially in infants at 25–26 weeks' gestation), and NEC incidence (especially in infants at 23–24 weeks' gestation) were significantly associated with LOS in the multivariate analysis.

Discussion

In the present study, the survival rate of EPT infants born at 23-26 weeks' gestation significantly improved during period II compared to during period I, especially in infants born at 23-24 weeks' gestation. This improved survival of EPT infants was simultaneously associated with significantly reduced incidence of LOS during period II compared to period I, especially in infants born at 25–26 weeks' gestation. In concordance with our data, Stoll et al. [25] reported a marked improvement in survival rates during the last decade among infants born between 23 and 24 weeks' gestation, as well as significantly reduced incidence of LOS and increased intact survival without major morbidities, especially in infants born between 25 and 28 weeks' gestation. Furthermore, although the plateau in the survival rate of infants born at 25-26 weeks' gestation has already been reached, improved survival in infants born at 23–24 weeks' gestation was associated with significantly reduced incidence of BPD in infants born at 25-26 weeks' gestation [13, 14]. Taken together, these findings suggest that better perinatal and neonatal care practices, which contributed to improved survival of the most immature infants born at 23–24 weeks' gestation during period II, simultaneously paralleled with the contributions to intact survival without major morbidities in the more mature EPT infants born at 25–26 weeks' gestation.

The Neonatal Research Network report on improved survival and neurodevelopmental outcome of periviable infants also reported a reduction in LOS, but did not test for the degree of associations between changes in LOS and changes in mortality and improved outcomes [26]. Previously, we observed that improved survival in infants born at 23-24 weeks' gestation was mainly attributable to significantly reduced proportionate mortality due to sepsis [13]. In the present study, significantly higher mortality was observed in the infants born at 23-24 weeks' gestation with LOS compared to the control group. However, in the present study, mortality and Apgar score at 5 min, and the clinical factors associated with improved survival that were identified in our previous studies [12, 13] also significantly improved in the control group of these infants during period II compared to during period I, and the association between mortality and LOS shown in the unadjusted univariate analysis in these infants. In addition, routine use of antenatal corticosteroids, which is known to be associated with lower rates of mortality before 25 weeks' gestation, increased (67 and 84% received antenatal steroids during periods I and II, respectively) [27]. Overall, these findings suggest that improved perinatal and neonatal care rather than reduced incidence of LOS are

Table 3 Clinical characteristics and associated factors of late-onset sepsis in each subgroup and period

23-24 weeks' gestation	Period I ($n = 49$)	Period II (n = 105)	Total ($n = 154$)	
	LOS (n = 17)	Control $(n = 32)$	LOS $(n = 26)$	Control $(n = 79)$	LOS $(n = 43)$	Control ($n = 111$
Gestational age, wk	23.8 ± 0.4	23.7 ± 0.5	23.6 ± 0.5	23.6 ± 0.5	23.7 ± 0.5	23.7 ± 0.5
Body weight, g	644.5 ± 95.5	671.9 ± 124.4	633.8 ± 73.5	639.7 ± 103.4	638.0 ± 82.0	649.0 ± 110.2
One-min Apgar score	2.5 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 1.5 *	4.5 ± 1.3 *	3.6 ± 1.7	4.0 ± 1.5
Five-min Apgar score	5.6 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 2.0	7.2 ± 1.3 *	7.1 ± 1.1 *	6.5 ± 1.5	6.7 ± 1.6
AGA	14 (82.4)	28 (87.5)	25 (96.2)	73 (92.4)	39 (90.7)	101 (91.0)
Pathologic CA	8 (47.1)	15 (46.9)	14 (53.8)	44 (55.7)	22 (51.2)	59 (53.2)
Maternal steroid use	11 (64.7)	22 (68.8)	22 (84.6)	66 (83.5)	33 (76.7)	88 (79.3)
Intubation duration, d	51.8 ± 43.2	34.5 ± 24.8	51.2 ± 46.0	41.8 ± 27.2	51.4 ± 44.4	39.7 ± 26.6
N-CPAP duration, d	19.1 ± 35.0	18.5 ± 20.2	34.6 ± 40.5	32.5 ± 23.3 *	28.5 ± 38.7	28.4 ± 23.3
Postnatal steroid use duration, d	14.8 ± 23.6	7.1 ± 8.0	23.8 ± 37.4	15.2 ± 11.6 *	11.2 ± 5.4	12.0 ± 6.5
Umbilical catheter duration, d	8.8 ± 3.9	8.4 ± 7.0	12.8 ± 5.8 *	13.4 ± 5.7 *	11.2 ± 5.4	12.0 ± 6.5
PCVC duration, d	27.1 ± 28.4	23.2 ± 18.0	64.8 ± 64.8 *	53.6 ± 37.1 *	49.9 ± 56.2	44.8 ± 35.5
Antibiotics use duration, d	28.4 ± 26.9	19.6 ± 14.3	39.5 ± 32.0	29.2 ± 23.5 *	35.1 ± 30.2	26.4 ± 21.6
Hospital stay, d	88.4 ± 67.6	82.1 ± 67.1	120.5 ± 92.4	113.3 ± 48.5 *	107.8 ± 84.1	104.3 ± 56.0
25–26 weeks' gestation	Period I (n = 75)	Period II (n = 135)	Total (n = 210)	
	LOS (n = 24)	Control $(n = 51)$	LOS (n = 12)	Control ($n = 123$)	LOS (n = 36)	Control $(n = 174)$
Gestational age, wk	25.5 ± 0.5	25.5 ± 0.5	25.2 ± 0.4	25.5 ± 0.5 #	25.4 ± 0.5	25.5 ± 0.5 #
Body weight, g	804.1 ± 139.7	809.6 ± 124.2	759.7 ± 155.3	813.4 ± 121.4	789.3 ± 144.4	812.3 ± 121.9
One-min Apgar score	2.9 ± 1.7	3.6 ± 1.6	5.0 ± 1.8 *	5.1 ± 1.5 *	3.6 ± 2.0	4.7 ± 1.7
Five-min Apgar score	5.7 ± 1.5	6.8 ± 1.4 #	7.0 ± 1.9 *	7.4 ± 1.2 *	6.1 ± 1.8	7.2 ± 1.3
AGA	22 (91.7)	48 (94.1)	9 (75.0)	115 (93.5) #	31 (86.1)	163 (93.7)
Pathologic CA	10 (41.7)	20 (40.0)	5 (41.7)	61 (49.6)	15 (41.7)	81 (46.8)
Maternal steroid use	16 (66.7)	37 (72.5)	10 (83.3)	100 (81.3)	26 (72.2)	137 (78.7)
Intubation duration, d	44.5 ± 51.9	27.7 ± 33.8	38.0 ± 16.1	18.3 ± 17.8 #	42.4 ± 43.1	21.1 ± 23.9 #
N-CPAP duration, d	16.8 ± 14.5	24.5 ± 7.3	38.1 ± 26.8 *	29.2 ± 19.7	23.9 ± 21.6	27.8 ± 19.1
Postnatal steroid use duration, d	9.2 ± 10.3	6.6 ± 12.8	14.2 ± 10.7	5.6 ± 8.0 #	10.8 ± 10.6	5.9 ± 9.7 #
Umbilical catheter duration, d	5.8 ± 4.7	5.8 ± 5.1	10.4 ± 10.0	5.6 ± 4.9	7.3 ± 7.1	5.6 ± 4.9
PCVC duration, d	22.9 ± 15.9	22.4 ± 16.8	55.4 ± 26.0 *	43.2 ± 28.6 *	33.7 ± 24.9	37.1 ± 27.3
Antibiotics use duration, d	27.8 ± 18.1	18.3 ± 15.9 #	33.2 ± 15.6	17.0 ± 13.8 #	29.6 ± 17.3	17.4 ± 14.4
Hospital stay, d	110.8 ± 61.7	94.8 ± 35.0	121.5 ± 53.2	89.7 ± 32.0 #	114.4 ± 58.4	91.2 ± 32.9 #
Total, 23–26 weeks' gestation	Period I (n = 12	4)	Period II (n = 240)	Total (n = 364)	
	LOS (41)	Control (83)	LOS (38)	Control (202)	LOS (79)	Control (285)
Gestational age, wk	24.8 ± 0.9	24.8 ± 1.0	24.1 ± 0.9 *	24.8 ± 1.0 #	24.4 ± 1.0	24.7 ± 1.0 #
Body weight, g	737.9 ± 145.6	756.5 ± 140.7	673.5 ± 119.8 *	745.5 ± 142.5 #	706.9 ± 136.8	748.7 ± 141.8 #
One-min Apgar score	2.7 ± 1.5	3.2 ± 1.5	4.6 ± 1.6 *	4.9 ± 1.5 *	3.6 ± 1.8	4.4 ± 1.7 #
Five-min Apgar score	5.6 ± 1.4	6.3 ± 1.7 #	7.1 ± 1.5 *	7.3 ± 1.2 *	6.3 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 1.4 #
AGA	36 (87.8)	76 (91.6)	34 (89.5)	188 (93.1)	70 (88.6)	264 (92.6)
Pathologic CA	18 (43.9)	35 (42.7)	19 (50)	105 (52)	37 (46.8)	140 (49.3)
Maternal steroid use	27 (65.9)	59 (71.1)	32 (84.2)	166 (82.2) *	59 (74.7)	225 (78.9)
Intubation duration, d	47.5 ± 48.0	30.3 ± 30.7 #	47.1 ± 39.3	27.5 ± 24.7 #	47.3 ± 43.7	28.3 ± 26.6 #
N-CPAP duration, d	17.8 ± 24.7	22.2 ± 18.6	35.7 ± 36.4 *	30.5 ± 21.2 *	26.4 ± 32.0	28.1 ± 20.8

Table 3 Clinical characteristics and associated factors of late-onset sepsis in each subgroup and period (Continued)

Umbilical catheter duration, d	7.0 ± 4.6	6.8 ± 6.0	12.0 ± 7.3 *	8.6 ± 6.5 #*	9.4 ± 6.5	8.1 ± 6.4
PCVC duration, d	24.6 ± 21.7	22.7 ± 17.2	61.8 ± 55.3 *	47.2 ± 32.5 *	42.5 ± 45.2	40.1 ± 30.9
Antibiotics use duration, d	28.1 ± 21.9	18.8 ± 15.2 #	37.5 ± 27.8	21.8 ± 19.1 #	32.6 ± 25.2	20.9 ± 18.0 #
Hospital stay, d	101.5 ± 64.3	89.9 ± 49.9	120.8 ± 81.3	99.0 ± 40.8	110.8 ± 73.1	96.3 ± 43.7

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or number (percentage)

LOS late-onset sepsis, AGA appropriate for gestational age, CA chorioamnionitis, N-CPAP nasal continuous positive pressure, PCVC percutaneous central venous catheter # p < 0.05 compared to LOS; # p < 0.05 compared to period I

primarily responsible for the improved survival rates observed during period II in the infants born at 23–24 weeks' gestation.

It is important to identify the clinical practices primarily responsible for the reduction in LOS in EPT infants. Previously, we observed that the implementation of clinical strategies consisting of the restrictive use of antibiotics; umbilical vessel catheterization and prolonged endotracheal intubation; and the establishment of guidelines for hand washing, gloving, infant handling,

and care of central intravascular lines, significantly reduced the rate of LOS in ELBWI [16]. An additional table file shows these clinical practices in more detail (see Additional file 1). In the present study, among the associated factors for LOS, period II; Apgar score at 5 min; and durations of hospitalization, intubation, postnatal steroid use, and antibiotics use were identified as significant using an unadjusted univariate analysis. Only period II and the duration of intubation showed significant association with LOS, especially in infants born at

Table 4 Mortality and morbidities of late-onset sepsis in each subgroup and period

23–24 weeks' gestation	Period I (n = 49	9)	Period II ($n = 1$)	05)	Total ($n = 154$)	
	LOS $(n = 17)$	Control $(n = 32)$	LOS $(n = 26)$	Control $(n = 79)$	LOS $(n = 43)$	Control $(n = 111)$
Mortality	9 (52.9)	13 (40.6)	10 (38.5)	13 (16.5) #,*	19 (44.2)	26 (23.4) #
PDA	17 (100)	29 (90.6)	26 (100)	75 (94.8)	43 (100)	104 (93.7)
NEC ≥ stage 2b	3 (17.6)	2 (6.3)	7 (26.9)	7 (8.9) #	10 (23.3)	9 (8.1) #
BPD grade (≥ moderate)	11 (100)	15 (75.0) #	12 (63.2) *	49 (70.0)	23 (76.7)	64 (71.1)
IVH ≥ stage 3	4 (23.5)	11 (34.4)	11 (42.3)	28 (35.4)	15 (34.9)	39 (35.1)
ROP≥ stage 3	8 (50.0)	11 (36.7)	12 (52.2)	33 (43.4)	20 (51.3)	44 (41.5)
25–26 weeks' gestation	Period I (n = 75	i)	Period II (n = 1	35)	Total (n = 210)	
	LOS $(n = 24)$	Control $(n = 51)$	LOS $(n = 12)$	Control ($n = 123$)	LOS $(n = 36)$	Control ($n = 174$)
Mortality	3 (12.5)	5 (9.8)	1 (8.3)	11 (8.9)	4 (11.1)	16 (9.2)
PDA	23 (95.8)	48 (94.1)	11 (91.7)	105 (85.4)	34 (94.9)	153 (87.9)
NEC ≥ stage 2b	1 (4.2)	2 (3.9)	2 (16.7)	6 (4.9)	3 (8.3)	8 (4.6)
BPD grade (≥ moderate)	12 (54.5)	32 (68.1)	9 (81.8)	47 (42.0) #,*	21 (63.6)	79 (49.7)
IVH ≥ stage 3	3 (12.5)	5 (9.8)	1 (8.3)	16 (13.0)	4 (11.1)	12 (12.1)
ROP≥ stage 3	11 (47.8)	21 (42.0)	6 (54.5)	27 (23.1) #,*	17 (50)	48 (28.7) #
Total, 23–26 weeks' gestation	Period I (n = 12	24)	Period II (n = 2	40)	Total (n = 364)	
	LOS (n = 41)	Control $(n = 83)$	LOS $(n = 38)$	Control $(n = 202)$	LOS (n = 79)	Control $(n = 285)$
Mortality	12 (29.3)	18 (21.7)	11 (28.9)	24 (11.9) #*	23 (29.1)	42 (14.7) #
PDA	40 (97.6)	77 (92.8)	37 (97.4)	180 (89.1)	77 (97.5)	257 (90.2) #
NEC ≥ stage 2b	4 (9.8)	4 (4.8)	9 (23.7)	13 (6.4) #	13 (16.5)	17 (6.0) #
BPD grade (≥ moderate)	23 (69.7)	47 (70.1)	21 (70)	96 (52.7) *	44 (69.8)	143 (57.3)
IVH ≥ stage 3	7 (17.1)	16 (19.3)	12 (31.6)	44 (21.8)	19 (24.1)	60 (21.1)
ROP≥ stage 3	19 (48.7)	32 (40)	18 (52.9)	60 (31.1) #	37 (50.7)	92 (33.7) #

Data are presented as number (percentage)

LOS late-onset sepsis, PDA patent ductus arteriosus, NEC necrotizing enterocolitis, BPD bronchopulmonary dysplasia, IVH intraventricular hemorrhage, ROP retinopathy of prematurity

[#] p < 0.05 compared to LOS; * p < 0.05 compared to period I

Table 5 Unadjusted univariate analysis of associated factors for late-onset sepsis

Associated factors	23–24 weeks' gestation	<i>p</i> -value	25–26 weeks' gestation	<i>p</i> -value	23–26 weeks' gestation	<i>p</i> -value
	OR(95% CI) (n = 154)		OR(95% CI) (n = 210)		OR(95% CI) (n = 364)	
Period II	0.620(0.297–1.294)	0.203	0.207(0.096-0.446)	< 0.001	0.381(0.229-0.634)	< 0.001
Gestational age, wk	1.036(0.489-2.193)	0.927	0.592(0.282-1.243)	0.166	0.721(0.562-0.924)	0.010
Body weight, 100 g	0.901(0.639-1.270)	0.552	0.871(0.663-1.143)	0.320	0.813(0.681-0.970)	0.021
Apgar score, 5 min	0.939(0.742-1.187)	0.598	0.709(0.571-0.880)	0.002	0.811(0.694-0.947)	0.008
Hospital stay, wk	1.006(0.969-1.044)	0.764	1.099(1.032-1.170)	0.003	1.036(1.003-1.070)	0.031
Intubation duration, wk	1.074(0.998-1.155)	0.055	1.168(1.050-1.299)	0.004	1.122(1.060-1.187)	< 0.001
Postnatal steroid use, wk	1.132(0.991-1.293)	0.067	1.322(1.049-1.666)	0.018	1.215(1.074–1.376)	0.002
Antibiotic use, wk	1.100(0.999–1.212)	0.053	1.355(1.164–1.578)	< 0.001	1.191(1.097-1.292)	< 0.001
Mortality	2.588(1.299-5.452)	0.012	1.234(0.387-3.936)	0.722	2.376(1.323-4.268)	0.004
NEC, ≥ stage 2b	3.434(1.286-9.172)	0.014	1.886(0.475-7.487)	0.367	3.105(1.437-6.711)	0.004
ROP, ≥ stage 3	1.483(0.710-3.100)	0.295	2.479(1.170-5.255)	0.018	2.022(1.199–3.411)	0.008

Bolded values indicate significant associated factors at p < 0.05

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, NEC necrotizing enterocolitis, ROP retinopathy of prematurity

25–26 weeks' gestation, in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. These findings suggest that although it might be difficult to specifically identify which of the clinical practices in this intervention strategy actually played an important role, better perinatal and neonatal care practices, including these strategies as a whole, were quite effective in reducing the incidence of LOS, especially in infants born at 25–26 weeks' gestation during period II.

Endotracheal intubation and prolonged mechanical ventilation are known to significantly increase the risk of LOS due to the breakdown of the physiologic barrier and the colonization of humidified air with hydrophilic bacteria to physical trauma while passing an endotracheal tube and to transient bacteremia during endotracheal suction [28-31]. Therefore, our data on the significant association of LOS with the duration of intubation in the multivariate logistic regression analysis suggests that aggressive early weaning from more invasive intubation and mechanical ventilation to less-invasive assisted ventilation, such as nasal CPAP, is important for reducing the incidence of LOS in EPT infants [16]. Moreover, the duration of intubation and nasal CPAP was significantly associated with the incidence of BPD in EPT infants [12]. In the present study, NEC, especially in infants born at 23–24 weeks' gestation, was significantly associated with LOS in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Overall, these findings suggest that the clinical implementation of the less-invasive ventilation strategy is effective for reducing not only LOS, but also other major morbidities associated with extreme prematurity, such as BPD.

Lower gestational age, intravascular catheter use, and prolonged antibiotic therapy are well-known risk factors for Candida sepsis [32, 33]. Therefore, our data of reduced proportionate rate of Candida albicans sepsis during period II as compared to during period I is difficult to explain, especially in the most immature infants born at 23-24 weeks' gestation despite significantly prolonged use of central intravascular catheters. At our institution, we did not use fluconazole prophylaxis, but strictly restricted empirical use of broad spectrum antibiotics, including carbapenems, third generation cephalosporins, and vancomycin, only by approval from the pediatric infectious disease division [34]. Period II was the only clinical factor significantly associated with fungal sepsis (OR, 0.165; 95% CI, 0.052–0.521; p = 0.002) in

Table 6 Multivariate logistic regression analysis of associated factors for late-onset sepsis

Associated factors	23–24 weeks' gestation	p-	25–26 weeks' gestation	<i>p</i> -value	23–26 weeks' gestation	<i>p</i> -value
	OR(95% CI) (n = 154)	value	OR(95% CI) (n = 210)		OR(95% CI) (n = 364)	
Period II	0.546(0.253-1.179)	0.123	0.239(0.108-0.530)	< 0.001	0.346(0.212-0.623)	< 0.001
Intubation duration, wk	1.077(1.001–1.159)	0.047	1.133(1.020-1.258)	0.020	1.115(1.054–1.181)	< 0.001
NEC, ≥ stage 2b	3.628(1.332-9.883)	0.012	2.344(0.550-9.995)	0.250	3.376(1.510-7.548)	0.003

Bolded values indicate significant associated factors at p < 0.05 OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NEC, necrotizing enterocolitis

Kim et al. BMC Pediatrics (2018) 18:172

the present study. A better infection control strategy, including the establishment of guidelines for central intravascular line management and meticulous aseptic care of the central line during period II, might be responsible for this improvement.

The present study had limitations, including its retrospective and uncontrolled observational study design. Although "study period" was included as an independent factor in the multivariate analysis of associated factors for LOS, there is a possibility that any unknown confounders might not have been controlled for in this retrospective study. Second, we defined that the exposure to associated factors was determined from the date of admission to the date of discharge or death. In this study, it was not apparent whether the associated factors were the cause or result of LOS. The third limitation was that as our data were obtained from a single institution, any positive findings obtained in this study might not be generalizable to another NICU. However, we used a relatively large sample size of 364 EPT infants born between 23 and 26 weeks' gestation at the same institution with similar baseline clinical characteristics.

Moreover, although the consistency of clinical management was not measured, our data showing more antenatal steroid use and significantly higher one- and five-minute Apgar score during period II in infants of 23–24 weeks' gestational age suggest that better obstetric perinatal and delivery room managements were provided during period II compared to period I. Thus, these findings imply that this single center study was well-placed in identifying the clinical strategies associated with improved survival and LOS rate, and might also redeem the limitations.

Conclusions

In summary, better perinatal and neonatal intensive care was likely responsible for improved survival, especially in infants born at 23-24 weeks' gestation during period II, which was simultaneously paralleled with a reduction in the incidence of LOS, especially in infants born at 25-26 weeks' gestation. Besides the implementation of clinical strategies for infection control, early extubation and aggressive weaning to less-invasive ventilation techniques, such as nasal CPAP, might be important for these improvements. Our data are in agreement with other studies that show that the development of perinatal and neonatal care improves survival of immature infants and simultaneously reduces morbidities of more mature preterm infants [35, 36]. Collectively, better perinatal and neonatal intensive care could simultaneously lead to improved survival and reduced incidence of LOS in EPT infants.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Infection control activities in our NICU. Detailed clinical practices for infection control in our institution's neonatal intensive care unit. (DOCX 15 kb)

Additional file 2: The datasets supporting the conclusion of this study. (XLSX 112 kb)

Abbreviations

AGA: Appropriate for gestational age; BPD: Bronchopulmonary dysplasia; Cls: Confidence intervals; CPAP: Continuous positive airway pressure; ELBWI: Extremely low birth weight infants; EPT: Extremely preterm; IVH: Intraventricular hemorrhage; LOS: Late-onset sepsis; NEC: Necrotizing enterocolitis; NICU: Neonatal intensive care unit; ORs: Odds ratios; PDA: Patent ductus arteriosus; PROM: Prolonged rupture of membrane; RDS: Respiratory distress syndrome; ROP: Retinopathy of prematurity

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the nurses and doctors of the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information file (Additional files 1 and 2).

Authors' contributions

Study conception and design: WSP, YSC. Acquisition of data: SS, SYA and JKK. Analysis and interpretation of data: YSC and JKK. Preparation, critical revision, and final approval of the manuscript: all authors.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Data collection was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Center. The informed consent requirements for this retrospective chart review were waived by the Institutional Review Board.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Pediatrics, Chonbuk National University School of Medicine, Jeonju 54907, Korea. ²Department of Pediatrics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul 06351, Korea. ³Research Institute of Clinical Medicine of Chonbuk National University-Biomedical Research Institute of Chonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju 54907, Korea.

Received: 20 December 2017 Accepted: 30 April 2018 Published online: 23 May 2018

References

- Nishida H. Outcome of infants born preterm, with special emphasis on extremely low birthweight infants. Baillières Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 1993; 7:611–31.
- Piecuch RE, Leonard CH, Cooper BA, Sehring SA. Outcome of extremely low birth weight infants (500 to 999 grams) over a 12-year period. Pediatrics. 1997;100:633–9.
- Narayan S, Aggarwal R, Upadhyay A, Deorari AK, Singh M, Paul VK. Survival and morbidity in extremely low birth weight (ELBW) infants. Indian Pediatr. 2003;40:130–5.
- Makhoul IR, Sujov P, Smolkin T, Lusky A, Reichman B. Epidemiological, clinical, and microbiological characteristics of late-onset sepsis among very low birth weight infants in Israel: a national survey. Pediatrics. 2002;109:34–9.
- Fanaroff AA, Korones SB, Wright LL, Verter J, Poland RL, Bauer CR, et al. Incidence, presenting features, risk factors and significance of late onset septicemia in very

- low birth weight infants. The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development neonatal research network. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1998;17:593–8.
- Brodie SB, Sands KE, Gray JE, Parker RA, Goldmann DA, Davis RB, et al. Occurrence of nosocomial bloodstream infections in six neonatal intensive care units. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2000;19:56–65.
- Suara RO, Young M, Reeves I. Risk factors for nosocomial infection in a highrisk nursery. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2000;21:250–1.
- Kawagoe JY, Segre CA, Pereira CR, Cardoso MF, Silva CV, Fukushima JT. Risk factors for nosocomial infections in critically ill newborns: a 5-year prospective cohort study. Am J Infect Control. 2001;29:109–14.
- Greenberg RG, Kandefer S, Do BT, Smith PB, Stoll BJ, Bell EF, et al.; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Late-onset Sepsis in extremely premature infants: 2000-2011. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2017;36(8):774–779.
- Horbar JD, Edwards EM, Greenberg LT, Morrow KA, Soll RF, Buus-Frank ME, et al. Variation in performance of neonatal intensive care units in the United States. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(3):e164396.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Adams-Chapman I, Fanaroff AA, Hintz SR, Vohr B, et al.;
 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Neurodevelopmental and growth impairment among extremely low-birth-weight infants with neonatal infection JAMA 2004;292:2357–2365.
- Kim JK, Chang YS, Sung S, Ahn SY, Yoo HS, Park WS. Trends in survival and incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia in extremely preterm infants at 23–26 weeks gestation. J Korean Med Sci. 2016;31:423–9.
- Park JH, Chang YS, Sung S, Ahn SY, Park WS. Trends in overall mortality, and timing and cause of death among extremely preterm infants near the limit of viability. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0170220.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen N, Fanaroff AA, Wright LL, Carlo WA, Ehrenkranz RA, et al. Late-onset sepsis in very low birth weight neonates: the experience of the NICHD neonatal research network. Pediatrics. 2002;110:285–91.
- Horbar JD, Rogowski J, Plsek PE, Delmore P, Edwards WH, Hocker J, et al. Collaborative quality improvement for neonatal intensive care. NIC/Q project investigators of the Vermont Oxford network. Pediatrics. 2001;107:14–22.
- Hwang JH, Choi CW, Chang YS, Choe YH, Park WS, Shin SM, et al. The efficacy of clinical strategies to reduce nosocomial sepsis in extremely low birth weight infants. J Korean Med Sci. 2005;20:177–81.
- Kilbride HW, Wirtschafter DD, Powers RJ, Sheehan MB. Implementation of evidence-based potentially better practices to decrease nosocomial infections. Pediatrics. 2003;111:e519–33.
- Kilbride HW, Powers R, Wirtschafter DD, Sheehan MB, Charsha DS, LaCorte M, et al. Evaluation and development of potentially better practices to prevent neonatal nosocomial bacteremia. Pediatrics. 2003;111:e504–18.
- Kurlat I, Corral G, Oliveira F, Farinella G, Alvarez E. Infection control strategies in a neonatal intensive care unit in Argentina. J Hosp Infect. 1998;40:149–54.
- Fanaroff A, Stoll B, Wright L, Carlo W, Ehrenkranz R, Stark A, et al. Trends in neonatal morbidity and mortality for very low birthweight infants. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;196:147.e1–8.
- 21. Mj B. Perforation of the gastrointestinal tract and peritonitis in the neonate. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1985;160:20–6.
- Jobe AH, Bancalari E. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;163:1723–9.
- Burstein J, Papile LA, Burstein R. Intraventricular hemorrhage and hydrocephalus in premature newborns: a prospective study with CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1979;132:631–5.
- 24. International Committee for the Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity. The international classification of retinopathy of prematurity revisited. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005;123:991–9.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD neonatal research network. Pediatrics. 2010;126:443–56.
- Younge N, Goldstein RF, Bann CM, Hintz SR, Patel RM, Smith PB, et al. Survival and neurodevelopmental outcomes among Periviable infants. N Engl J Med. 2017;376:617–28.
- Carlo WA, McDonald SA, Fanaroff AA, et al. Association of Antenatal Corticosteroids with Mortality and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes among Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks' gestation. JAMA. 2011;306(21):2348–58.
- 28. Goldmann DA, Durbin WA, Freeman J. Nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. J Infect Dis. 1981;144:449–59.
- Auriti C, Maccallini A, Di Liso G, Di Ciommo V, Ronchetti MP, Orzalesi M. Risk factors for nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive-care unit. J Hosp Infect. 2003;53:25–30.

- Thompson PJ, Greenough A, Hird MF, Philpott-Howard J, Gamsu HR. Nosocomial bacterial infections in very low birth weight infants. Eur J Pediatr. 1992;151:451–4.
- 31. Storm W. Transient bacteremia following endotracheal suctioning in ventilated newborns. Pediatrics. 1980;65:487–90.
- Aliaga S, Clark RH, Laughon M, Walsh TJ, Hope WW, Benjamin DK, et al. Changes in the incidence of candidiasis in neonatal intensive care units. Pediatrics. 2014;133(2):236–42.
- Benjamin DK Jr, Stoll BJ, Gantz MG, Walsh MC, Sánchez PJ, Das A, et al. Neonatal candidiasis: epidemiology, risk factors, and clinical judgment. Pediatrics. 2010;126(4):e865–73.
- 34. Cotten CM, McDonald S, Stoll B, Goldberg RN, Poole K, Benjamin DK Jr. The association of third-generation cephalosporin use and invasive candidiasis in extremely low birth-weight infants. Pediatrics. 2006;118(2):717–22.
- Doyle LW, Roberts G, Anderson PJ, Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Changing long-term outcomes for infants 500-999g birth weight in Victoria, 1979-2005. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2011;96:F443–7.
- Wilson-Costello D. Is there evidence that long-term outcomes have improved with intensive care? Semin Fetal Neontal Med. 2007;12:344

 –54.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

